

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,801	12/08/2003		Tatsuro Nagahara	FN4104US-CIP	8923
7	590	05/31/2006		EXAM	INER
Alan P. Kass				LEE, S	SIN J
Clariant Corpo				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Somerville, NJ 08876			1752	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/728,801	NAGAHARA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Sin J. Lee	1752
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING OF THE MAILING O	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	arch 2006.	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 4-6,8-15 and 17-19 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4-6,8-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/806,852</u> . ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2)	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/728,801

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4-6, 8-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17 and 18, applicants recite "polysiloxazane having . . . , as its main repeating units, . . . $-(RSiO_{1.5})$ - or $-(SiO_2)$ -. It is unclear to the Examiner how a polymer having those repeating units can be a polysiloxazane because it is the Examiner's understanding that polysiloxazane has to have nitrogen atoms in its molecule and those repeating units $(-(RSiO_{1.5})$ - or $-(SiO_2)$ -) do not have nitrogen atoms in them.

Appropriate correction or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 8-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nagahara et al (US 2003/0113657 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,801 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

Nagahara et al is prior art as of its publication date (June 19, 2003) under 102(a) since effective filing date of present application is December 8, 2003, not June 18, 2001 on which the parent application 09/806,852 was filed. – see "Response to Arguments" below.

Claims 6 of Nagahara teaches a photosensitive polysilazane composition comprising a polysilsesquiazane having a number average molecular weight of 100 to 100,000 and containing a basic constituent unit of –[SiR⁶(NR⁷)_{1.5}]-, in which R⁶ represents a C₁₋₃ alkyl group or a phenyl group and R⁷ represents a H atom, a C₁₋₃ alkyl group or a phenyl group, and a photoacid generator. Based on this teaching, one skilled in the art would immediately envisage the R⁶ to be a methyl group and the R⁷ to be a H atom. Also, claim 14 of Nagahara teaches a method forming a patterned interlayer dielectric, which comprises forming a coating film of the radiation sensitive polysilsesquiazane composition, patternwise irradiating the coating film, dissolving and removing the irradiated part of the coating film, and leaving the residual patterned coating film in the ambient atmosphere or burning the coating film.

As photoacid generator, Nagahara teaches peroxides (such as 3,3',4,4'-tetra(t-butylperoxycarbonyl)benzophenone shown in [0163]) and nitrobenzyl ester (see [0083]). Therefore, Nagahara teaches present inventions of claims 4-6 and 11-15.

Nagahara also teaches the use of a sensitizing dye such as coumarin in [0033] and the use of an oxidization catalyst such as palladium propionate in [0035].

Therefore, Nagahara teaches present inventions of claims 8-10 and 17-19.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/728,801

Art Unit: 1752

5. Applicants argue that they are entitled to the effective date of the parent application, June 18, 2001 and thus the rejection of present claims over Nagahara'657 should be withdrawn. However, MPEP 706,02 (V)(B) states that if the application is a continuation-in-part of an earlier U.S. application, any *claims* (please not that MPEP does not say "any part of a claim" but say "any claims") in the new application not supported by the specification and claims of the parent application have an effective filing date equal to the filing date of the new application. Any claims which are fully supported under 35 U.S.C. 112 by the earlier parent application have the effective filing date of that earlier parent application. Also, see MPEP 201.11 (B) which states that only the *claims* of the continuation-in-part application that are disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 in the prior-filed application are entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed application. It is furthermore stated that any claim in a continuation-in-part application which is directed solely to subject matter adequately disclosed under 35 U.S.C. 112, in the parent nonprovisional application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the parent nonprovisional application. However, if a claim in a continuation-in-part application recites "a feature" which was not disclosed or adequately supported by a proper disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 112 in the parent nonprovisional application, but which was first introduced or adequately supported in the continuation-in-part application, such a claim is entitled only to the filing date of the continuation-in-part application. In the instant case, every independent claims of present application recites features which were not disclosed or adequately supported by a proper disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 112 in the parent

Application/Control Number: 10/728,801 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

application, but which were first introduced or adequately supported in the present continuation-in-part application. Thus, all of the present claims are entitled only to the filing date of the present continuation-in-part application, which is December 8, 2003.

For the reasons stated above, present rejection over Nagahara et al'657 still stands.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.J.L.

May 27, 2006

SIN LEE